UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-1068
YAHUDAH WASHITAW OF EASTTERRA INDIANS; DAVID HOSKINS; KERKLON STACKHOUSE; SHAWN SINGLETARY,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,
and
MAURICE STACKHOUSE, Deceased,
Plaintiff,
v.
PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION; JP MORGAN CHASE BK, NA; CIT GROUP/SALES FINANCING, INC.; STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA; THE UNITED STATES; DKL INVESTMENTS, LLC,
Defendants - Appellees,
and
NATIONS STAR,
Defendant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. W. Earl Britt, Senior District Judge. (5:17-cv-00377-BR)
Submitted: May 29, 2018 Decided: June 4, 2018

Before WILKINSON, WYNN, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed in part, dismissed in part by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Yahudah Washitaw of EastTerra Indians, David Hoskins, Kerklon Stackhouse, Shawn Singletary, Appellants Pro Se. Joseph Michael Lischwe, D. Martin Warf, NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Proceeding pro se, Yahudah Washitaw of EastTerra Indians (Washitaw), David Hoskins, Kerklon Stackhouse, and Shawn Singletary seek to appeal the district court's order dismissing their amended complaint for failure to state a claim. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Because Washitaw may only proceed in federal court through licensed counsel, we dismiss the appeal as to Washitaw. See Rowland v. Cal. Men's Colony, Unit II Men's Advisory Council, 506 U.S. 194, 201-02 (1993); Fraass Survival Sys., Inc. v. Absentee Shawnee Econ. Dev. Auth., 817 F. Supp. 7, 10-11 (S.D.N.Y. 1993). As to the remaining Appellants, we have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, although we grant those Appellants leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Yahudah Washitaw of EastTerra Indians v. PHH Mortg. Corp., No. 5:17-cv-00377-BR (E.D.N.C. Dec. 21, 2017). We deny the motion for judicial assistance by an indigenous tribe. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

> AFFIRMED IN PART; DISMISSED IN PART